**Provider Community: Adult Care Home** 

Item Reference ACH 1.0

**Date Drafted** 2/29/2004

**Date Revised** 4/9/2004

**Groups Affected** Adult Care Home

**Issue** Automatic mass adjustment was initiated due to a retroactive rate change but the patient liability was not deducted

Resolved 1/16/2004

correctly.

**Impact** 14,962 claims needed to be adjusted to correctly deduct the patient liability.

**Resolution** A mass adjustments correction was performed on 12/26 for 25 affected providers. Four remaining providers' claims were

corrected on 1/16/2004.

**Provider Action** No action is needed

Item Reference ACH 1.1

**Date Drafted** 2/29/2004

Date Revised 4/9/2004

Groups Affected Adult Care Home Resolved 10/21/2003

**Issue** MMIS did not correctly calculate spans of days.

**Impact** Providers were paid more than the amount billed on their claim.

**Resolution** Permanent system change was identified and implemented on 10/21/2003.

**Provider Action** Provider to submit adjustment.

Resolved 12/19/2003

Resolved

12/18/2003

2

Provider Community: CDDO, HCBS, Home Health, and CMHC (Also see GENP 1.0, 1.1, 1.2, 1.4, and 1.5)

**Item Reference CHHC 1.0** 

**Date Drafted** 2/29/2004

**Date Revised** 4/9/2004

**Groups Affected** CDDO

Issue Claims were being denied for "Performing provider not member of group."

**Impact** CDDOs claims are not being paid because affiliates are truly not members of the CDDO group.

Resolution Permanent system change was identified and implemented on 12/19/2003.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

**Item Reference** CHHC 1.1

**Date Drafted** 2/29/2004

**Date Revised** 4/9/2004

**Groups Affected** CMHC

Amount paid includes payment amounts, state share and TPL deductions. Issue

**Impact** This issue creates confusion when providers are posting remittance advices.

Resolution Removed the state share and TPL amounts from the amount paid columns as of the 12/18/2003 remittance advices.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Resolved

1/5/2004

Resolved

1/2004

Item Reference CHHC 1.2

**Date Drafted** 2/29/2004

**Date Revised** 4/30/2004

Groups Affected CMHC

**Issue** The new MMIS was not originally designed to accommodate affiliate billing by Community Mental Health Centers

(CMHCs).

Only one provider in the state had previously been approved to perform affiliate billing; however, because this wasn't

carried over to the new MMIS that provider was unable to conduct any billings for approximately 8 weeks.

**Resolution** Permanent system change was identified and implemented in early January 2004.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

\_\_\_\_\_

Item Reference CHHC 1.3

**Date Drafted** 2/29/2004

**Date Revised** 4/30/2004

**Groups Affected** HCBS

**Issue** Providers are stating a "slow-down" has occurred in getting their claims paid and that claims are suspending for Plans of

Care (POC). Due to numerous system issues related to POC (inability to access the POCs, inability to modify/update and

inability to submit POCs), EDS created a backlog of POCs to be entered into the system.

**Impact** The HCBS community is not receiving timely payments.

**Resolution** SRS and EDS worked on approving the Plans of Care to resolve the backlog. Once Plans of Care were approved,

affected claims were released for processing.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

Item ReferenceCHHC 1.4Date Drafted2/29/2004Date Revised4/23/2004Groups AffectedHCBS

**Issue** Plans of Care were not set up with client obligation amounts that matched amounts found in KAESCES (the eligibility

system).

Ongoing as needed.

**Impact** 1,666 claims were in suspense for an out of balance condition. Approximate dollar amount was\$1.3 million.

**Resolution** POCs need to be updated by case managers. EDS is continually working with case managers so that as Plans of Care are

corrected, the affected claims are recycled.

**Provider Action** For HCBS FE providers, KDOA decided that the eligibility file and plan of care must be the same or claims will be

denied. Provider must contact the case manager to correct an out of balance situation.

Item ReferenceCHHC 1.5Date Drafted2/29/2004Date Revised4/9/2004

**Groups Affected** Targeted Case Management

**Issue** Services are being denied for submission to Medicare as primary payor due to the implementation of national codes on

Resolved 1/23/2004

4

1/1/2004.

**Impact** 1,068 claims were denied instructing providers to bill Medicare first.

**Resolution** Permanent system correction to bypass Medicare editing for these codes was implemented on 1/23/2004 and 1,068

affected claims were recycled.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Resolved:

4/7/2004

Resolved:

4/7/2004

Item Reference CHHC 1.6

Date Drafted 4/9/2004

Date Revised 4/9/2004

**Groups Affected** CMHC

**Issue** Beneficiaries were being charged a \$3 co-pay amount for family therapy, when the manual states that it should only be

for individual therapy.

**Impact** Beneficiaries are questioning why and/or stating that they cannot pay.

**Resolution** The new system allows for proper designation of family therapy. Family therapy is not considered a group therapy as it is

individually focused. The \$3 co-pay amount for family therapy will continue.

**Provider Action** Providers need to collect the \$3 co-pay for family therapy.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Item Reference CHHC 1.7

**Date Drafted** 4/12/2004

Date Revised 5/7/2004

Groups Affected CDDO

**Issue** Federal match (FFP) is not being reduced from claims. The full amount is being paid.

**Impact** Claims are being overpaid. The provider is incorrectly being paid the 50% FFP portion.

**Resolution** The table that controls the calculation of state share was updated on 4/7/2004. Claims to be adjusted were identified.

EDS initiated the adjustment on 4/7/2004. (CO 6069)

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

Item Reference CHHC 1.8

**Date Drafted** 4/12/2004

**Date Revised** 4/23/2004

**Groups Affected** Home Health

**Issue** Supply claims for home health were being denied for exception 2502 (bill Medicare first).

**Impact** Providers are being underpaid. Claims are being denied in error. Home health services billed with the GY modifier are

not required to have a Medicare denial. Supplies that are billed in conjunction with the home health services with the GY

Resolved: 2/24/04

Resolved: 4/12/2004

6

modifier are also not required to have a Medicare denial.

**Resolution** The cause of this issue was identified. EDS updated the Claims Resolution Manual to instruct clerks to force claims that

meet this criteria. As of 4/16/2004, EDS recycled or adjusted all claims that were denied in error.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Item Reference CHHC 1.9

**Date Drafted** 4/12/2004

Date Revised 4/12/2004

**Groups Affected** CMHC

**Issue** Medication checks (procedure code 90862) were being denied.

**Impact** Providers believe that they are being underpaid.

**Resolution** Medication checks (procedure code 90862) are content of service to individual therapy visits (procedure code 9080). The

new system allows for more comprehensive processing of claims based on the Correct Coding Guidelines that deal with

content of service. These claims are being denied correctly as content of service.

**Provider Action** Providers should evaluate their billing practices to ensure adherence to the Correct Coding Guidelines for any potential

content of service procedure codes.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

Item Reference CHHC 1.10

**Date Drafted** 4/15/2004

Date Revised 6/4/2004

**Groups Affected** CMHC

**Issue** HCBS claims are paying one penny because the Plan of Care (POC) was approved with a "penny out" line.

Resolved: 6/4/2004

Impact Claims are being underpaid

**Resolution** The POC was set up with too low of an approved amount. EDS identified these POCs and systematically removed the

"penny out" lines on 4/22/2004. Claims previously paid one cent were adjusted so they processed under the correct POC

line item. (CO 5803)

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Item Reference CHHC 1.11

**Date Drafted** 4/15/2004

Date Revised 4/23/2004

**Groups Affected** CMHC

**Issue** Claims were being denied for Plans of Care with a pay cap amount that had a dollar amount and a unit on the Plan of Care

(POC).

**Impact** Claims were being underpaid.

Resolved: 2/2/2004

**Resolution** When a POC has a type of "pay cap amount," the system looks at both units and dollars when decrementing if that POC

is available to still use. If a claim has already processed against that line item, it considers the line "used" since the units have already been decremented. The system should use dollars only when the POC is pay cap amount. A system correction was implemented on 2/2/2004. EDS created a mass adjustment and claims started to reprocess on 4/5/2004.

Cleanup was completed on 4/14/2004.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

Item Reference CHHC 1.12

Date Drafted 4/15/2004

**Date Revised** 4/23/2004

**Groups Affected** CMHC

**Issue** Claims related to "pay unit fee" prior authorization (PA) were being denied for "PA not found."

Impact Claims were being underpaid.

**Resolution** When the PA (i.e. Plan of Care) is a "pay unit fee price," the system expects the exact unit dollar amount being billed on

the incoming claim. For example, if 10 units were approved at \$2 each, and the provider billed 10 units and a total billed amount of \$30, the claim would be denied indicating no PA on file. The system was corrected to allow for the billed amount to be different than what appears on the PA. EDS created a mass adjustment and claims started to reprocess on

Resolved:

2/2/2004

Resolved:

2/18/2004

8

4/5/2004. Cleanup was completed on 4/14/2004.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Item Reference CHHC 1.13

**Date Drafted** 4/15/2004

**Date Revised** 4/23/2004

**Groups Affected** CMHC

**Issue** Claims were being suspended or denied as duplicates when the UD modifier was billed.

**Impact** If claims were submitted via any format except the Internet, claims were being suspended for review, causing a delay in

payment. If claims were submitted via the Internet, they were being denied for duplicate denial. This occurred when a

UD modifier was on the claim and the previous claims paid even if it was a different date of service.

**Resolution** The UD modifier was not being recognized as a unique modifier on different dates of service. This was corrected to

allow claims to process without being suspended or denied unless it was an exact duplicate for the same date of service. The system was corrected on 2/18/2004. EDS reprocessed claims that were denied in error as duplicates on 4/22/2004.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

Item Reference CHHC 1.14

Date Drafted 4/15/2004

Date Revised 8/6/2004

**Groups Affected** CMHC

**Issue** Claims were being denied for invalid diagnosis code for dates of service.

Resolved:

Claims were being denied incorrectly.

Resolved:
3/9/2004

**Resolution** Providers reported that they submitted claims with the new diagnosis code (78099) and it was denied for a January 2004

date of service. Another provider reported that 2003 claims were being denied for an invalid diagnosis code (Y45) when billed after 1/1/2004. EDS identified that the wrong beginning and ending effective dates were on the new diagnosis codes. The codes were updated with correct dates. (CO 6671) EDS automatically reprocessed the claims that were

denied in error with invalid diagnosis codes on 7/15/2004.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Item Reference CHHC 1.16

**Date Drafted** 4/15/2004

Date Revised 7/9/2004

**Groups Affected** CMHC

**Issue** Claims for CPT code 90862 were being denied as "procedure code is noncovered for this provider type and

specialty."(EOB 342).

**Impact** Claims were being denied incorrectly.

**Resolution** Claims that were being denied for CPT code 90862 for this provider type and specialty were resolved as of 5/4/2004.

EDS identified claims denied in error on 7/7/2004 and resubmitted them for reconsideration of payment. (CO 5646)

Resolved:

5/4/2004

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

 Item Reference
 CHHC 1.17

 Date Drafted
 4/15/2004

 System Corrected:
 5/14/2004

Date Revised 8/27/2004

Cleanup: 8/23/2004 CMHC

lssue Claims for CTP code Y9117 with dates of service prior to 1/1/2004 are being denied as "benefit maximum for this time

period has been reached." (EOB 262).

**Impact** Claims are being denied incorrectly for beneficiaries not in the MediKan benefit plan.

**Resolution** Audit 6069 (Allow 320 Units of Targeted Case Management Per Calendar Year) was modified on 5/14/2004 to only

apply to MediKan beneficiaries. EDS identified and reprocessed claims that were denied in error. (CO 6976) EDS

completed reprocessing claims on 8/23/2004.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Item ReferenceCHHC 1.18Date Drafted4/15/2004

Date Revised 4/30/2004
Groups Affected CMHC

**Issue** Claims were being denied for timely filing even though the original converted ICN is indicated on the claim.

**Impact** Claims were being underpaid.

**Resolution** A system change was implemented to allow providers to bill using a timely filing ICN. The beneficiary ID, provider

number, and date of service on the timely filing ICN must match the claim submitted or the system will not bypass the

Resolved:

3/2004

timely filing requirement.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

Resolved:

3/18/04

Resolved:

4/20/2004

Item Reference CHHC 1.19

Date Drafted 5/4/2004

Date Revised 5/4/2004

Groups Affected HCBS

**Issue** Procedure code T1016, as well as similar HCBS procedure codes, was being denied for being part of family service

coordination involvement.

**Impact** Claims were being denied in error.

**Resolution** The system was corrected to exclude HCBS procedure codes from the Family Service Coordination exception 4352.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Item Reference CHHC 1.20

**Date Drafted** 5/4/2004

Date Revised 5/14/2004

**Groups Affected** Home Health

**Issue** Claims for qualified Medicare beneficiaries (QMB) were being denied when the GY modifier was on the claim.

**Impact** Providers were being underpaid.

**Resolution** Procedure code 99601 was loaded as being billable with the GY modifier for all benefit plans except QMB. The system

was corrected to allow 99601 to be billed with the GY modifier as of 4/20/04. (TO 6380)

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

Item Reference CHHC 1.21

Date Drafted 6/9/2004

Date Revised 8/17/2004

**Groups Affected** HCBS

**Issue** Procedure S5161 was paying at \$25 per unit instead of the \$30 allowed.

Resolved: 4/23/2004

**Impact** Providers were being underpaid.

**Resolution** Installation of an emergency response system (S5161) was paying at \$25 instead of the \$30 allowed amount. This issue

was corrected as of 4/23/04. EDS will adjust the affected claims and notify the providers when complete. EDS submitted

the adjustments on 8/13/2004. (CO 6410)

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Item Reference CHHC 1.23

Date Drafted 6/9/2004

Date Revised 6/9/2004

**Groups Affected** CMHC

**Issue** Local behavior management codes were being denied in error indicating no prior authorization (i.e., plan of care) on file.

Resolved: 4/21/04

Impact Claims were being denied incorrectly.

**Resolution** Local behavior management codes were being denied in error indicating no prior authorization (i.e., plan of care) on file.

Codes included in the denial were S5145, H0017, T1019HA, 90847, and H2013. Claims denied in error were identified

and reprocessed by 5/7/04. (CO6394)

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

Item Reference CHHC 1.25

Date Drafted 6/9/2004

Date Revised 7/9/2004

**Groups Affected** HCBS

**Impact** 

**Issue** Claims were being denied with Y19 diagnosis code.

Claims were being denied incorrectly.

**Resolution** Claims with diagnosis code Y19 were denied incorrectly as noncovered after 2/19/2004. This code was still covered for

dates of service prior to 1/1/04 and should have been paid. The end date on the code was updated to allow claims to pay with dates of service prior to 1/1/04. This correction was made on 5/18/04. EDS identified the claims denied in error on

Resolved: 5/18/2004

Resolved: 6/10/2004

7/2/2004 and resubmitted them for reconsideration of payment. (CO 6588)

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Item Reference CHHC 1.27

Date Drafted 6/28/2004

Date Revised 8/6/2004

**Groups Affected** HCBS

**Issue** Claims were being denied when a single claim bypassed 120 units for targeted case management.

**Impact** Providers were not being paid.

**Resolution** Claims were being denied for exception 6051: allow 120 hours of targeted case management per calendar

year. The claim should cut back to the units remaining to be allowed rather than be denied. This applies to claims with procedure code W1300. This issue was resolved on 6/10/04. EDS reprocessed the claims on

7/16/2004. (CO 6766)

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

Resolved

12/18/2003

Resolved

1/19/2004

**Provider Community: Dental** 

Item ReferenceDENT 1.0Date Drafted2/29/2004Date Revised4/9/2004

**Groups Affected** Dental

**Issue** MMIS could not accept teeth numbered 1 - 9 (old claims still cycling through MMIS).

Impact This issue delayed claims payment from 10/16/2003 through 12/18/2003.

**Resolution** A permanent system correction was implemented on 12/18/2003, and EDS worked with DORAL to reprocess all affected

claims to appear on the 12/25/2003 remittance advices.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Item Reference DENT 1.1

**Date Drafted** 2/29/2004

**Date Revised** 4/19/2004

**Groups Affected** Dental

**Issue** Provider numbers for dental service providers including ICF-MRs, Local Health Departments, and Federally Qualified

Health Centers were not assigned provider numbers with a dental provider type until after the changeover to Doral.

**Impact** This issue delayed claims payment. Doral's system does not allow the input of claims by providers that do not have a

provider number.

**Resolution** Applications were received and enrollments were processed. Information was received by Doral on 1/19/2004.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

Resolved

11/4/2003

Resolved

3/11/04

Item Reference DENT 1.2

**Date Drafted** 2/29/2004

**Date Revised** 4/19/2004

**Groups Affected** Dental

Issue

The daily eligibility file transfer was not fully completed until 11/4/2003.

Impact This issue caused a delay in claims processing between 10/16/2003 and 11/4/2003.

**Resolution** Daily files were corrected on 11/4/2003. The file transfer process was implemented. Doral obtains current MMIS

information on a daily basis.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Item Reference** DENT 1.3

**Date Drafted** 2/29/2004

Date Revised 4/19/2004

**Groups Affected** Dental

**Issue** D9221 (deep sedentary anesthesia - each additional 15 minutes) was not paying units correctly. This problem was

identified on 1/27/2004.

**Impact** Claims with this procedure code were not being paid correctly.

**Resolution** The MMIS correction was coded and tested on 2/20/04. Claims were identified and resubmitted by the end of the

2/7/2004 financial cycle. (Task # 6218)

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

Item Reference DENT 1.4

Date Drafted 2/29/2004

Date Revised 4/19/2004

**Groups Affected** Dental

**Issue** Exchanges of data between contractors occasionally failed. Examples included HIPAA compliance checks; data content

of files is missing; transfers and receipts do not match; and history files.

**Impact** This issue caused delays in claims processing as one or more of the contractors did not have current data necessary for

accurate and timely claims processing.

**Resolution** These problems were generally resolved that day, with a new file sent the next day. Data transfer problems occur from

time to time and most issues are resolved as soon as possible after they occur. Outstanding issues have been identified

Ongoing as

needed

Resolved:

4/22/2004

and are being addressed.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Item Reference DENT 1.5

**Date Drafted** 2/29/2004

**Date Revised** 5/28/2004

**Groups Affected** Dental

**Issue** Encounter rate table for Federally Qualified Health Clinic (FQHC) dental service providers was not loaded. Currently,

the MMIS pays these claims at the fee-for-service rate instead of the encounter rate.

**Impact** Dental claims submitted by these providers did not pay correctly.

**Resolution** The system change was identified and implemented on 4/16/2004. This issue was resolved on 4/22/2004.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

Ongoing as

needed.

Resolved:

3/5/2004

**Item Reference** DENT 1.6

**Date Drafted** 2/29/2004

**Date Revised** 4/19/2004

**Groups Affected** Dental

Dental

**Issue** Providers were providing services prior to their enrollments being completed. Examples for delays are incomplete

applications, lack of signatures, and so forth.

**Impact** Claims cannot be submitted until a provider number is issued and recognized by the MMIS.

**Resolution** These problems were resolved when the enrollment process was complete.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Item Reference DENT 1.7

Date Drafted 6/9/2004

Date Revised 6/25/2004

**Groups Affected** Dentist

**Issue** Dental anesthesia code (D9221) was being reimbursed at the incorrect level.

**Impact** Providers were not being paid correctly.

**Resolution** Dental anesthesia code (D9221) was being reimbursed at the incorrect level. The pricing files and processes were

updated to correctly price the claims on 3/5/04. EDS identified the claims priced in error and submitted adjustments on

5/13/2004. (CO 6137)

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

Item Reference DENT 1.8

Date Drafted 6/9/2004

Date Revised 6/9/2004

**Groups Affected** Dentist

**Issue** Procedure D3220 was being denied in error when submitted with tooth #A.

Resolved: 3/29/2004

Impact Claims were being denied incorrectly.

**Resolution** Processors were given clearer instructions regarding handling the processing of these claims. Claims denied in error were

identified and reprocessed for proper payment on 3/29/04. (CO 6153)

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

# **Provider Community: Rural Health Clinics & Federally Qualified Health Clinics**

Item Reference RHC 1.0

**Date Drafted** 2/29/2004

**Date Revised** 7/29/2004

**Groups Affected** Rural Health Clinics & FQHCs

**Issue** RHC/FQHC providers were paid Case Management fees for some of their beneficiaries during the February Cap

adjustment run. These providers were not to be paid the \$2 administration payment beginning in November 2004.

**Impact** Providers were paid in error; the money needed to be recovered.

**Resolution** A letter was mailed to inform the providers of this resolution. (CO# 5784) It was hoped that this could be accomplished

through the cost settlement process and not require account receivables or recoupments. SRS determined these claims could not be recovered through the cost settlement process because of the timing involved in that process. The cleanup

Resolved:

3/17/2004

occurred starting 7/22/2004 and was completed 7/30/2004.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Item Reference RHC 1.2

**Date Drafted** 4/12/2004

Date Revised 5/14/2004

**Groups Affected** RHC/FQHC

**Issue** RHC/FQHC were being paid too low in addition to the fee-for-service rate issue. They were being paid below normal

physician fee-for-service rates.

**Impact** Claims were being underpaid significantly.

**Resolution** A partial system correction for this issue was identified and implemented on 4/16/2004. A solution was identified to

resolve the incorrect pricing of claims when an invalid performing provider number was submitted. An adjustment was

submitted for claims that were paid using the incorrect rate on 5/12/2004. (CO 6202)

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

**Item Reference** RHC 1.3

Date Drafted 4/12/2004

Date Revised 5/28/2004

**Groups Affected** RHC/FQHC

Lab related claims for RHC were being paying fee-for-service (FFS) rates.

**Impact** Overpayments occurring as lab-related claims should not be paid at all. Only face-to-face claims should be paid an

encounter rate.

**Resolution** A partial system correction for this issue was identified and implemented on 4/16/2004. A solution was identified to

resolve the incorrect pricing of claims when an invalid performing provider number is submitted. (CO 6202)

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Item Reference RHC 1.4

Date Drafted 4/9/2004

**Date Revised** 5/28/2004

**Groups Affected** RHC/FQHC

**Issue** Starting on the 3/25/04 remittance advice, RHC and FQHC claims were not being paid at the encounter rate (per diem

allowable). All services were processing at the nonencounter rate.

Impact Claims were being underpaid significantly. For example, office visit procedure code 99213 paid \$18.03 instead of

\$65.95.

**Resolution** A partial system correction for this issue was identified and implemented on 4/16/2004. A solution was identified to

resolve the incorrect pricing of claims when an invalid performing provider number is submitted. (CO 5665)

Resolved:

4/16/2004

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

**Item Reference** RHC 1.5

Date Drafted 6/3/2004

Date Revised 7/21/2004

**Groups Affected** RHC

**Issue** A \$3 instead of \$2 co-pay amount was being deducted from claims.

Resolved: 6/24/2004

**Impact** Providers were being underpaid.

**Resolution** EDS identified the issue that caused the incorrect co-pay to be deducted. The system was updated on 6/24/2004 to reflect

the accurate co-pay amount of \$2 for Rural Health Clinic providers. EDS reprocessed the claims on 7/20/2004. (CO

6718)

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

# **Provider Community: Hospice**

Item ReferenceHSPC 1.0Date Drafted2/29/2004Date Revised4/30/2004Groups AffectedHospiceIssueThere was a high volume of claims in suspense to be manually priced.

Resolved 1/30/2004

As of 1/14/2004, 556 claims were in suspense to be manually priced. This created a slow-down in the turnaround time

providers can get their claims paid.

**Resolution** A temporary workaround solution was implemented to suspend claims to one specific location so that dedicated staff

could focus on pricing these claims. A meeting was held with hospice providers on 1/14/2004 to identify methods to automate pricing process as a permanent system change. The system change is in progress as of 1/30/2004. (CO 5595)

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

# **Provider Community: Hospitals & Adult Care Home**

Item Reference HSPT 1.1

Date Drafted 2/29/2004

Date Revised 4/9/2004

Groups Affected Hospital

Outpatient claims were being denied for the entire line when only one detail should have been denied.

Resolved

Inpatient

Resolved

3/6/2004

**Impact** Providers were not receiving payments for lines that could be paid.

**Resolution** A permanent solution was implemented and all affected claims were recycled by 12/26/2003.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Item ReferenceHSPT 1.2Date Drafted2/29/2004

Date Revised 5/7/2004

Issue

Groups Affected Hospital

**Issue** Providers reported that "one-day" hospital claims were not processing correctly.

**Impact** Claims were being denied in error.

**Resolution** A system change was implemented on 4/16/2004. (CO 5648)

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

Item Reference HSPT 1.4

**Date Drafted** 2/29/2004

Date Revised 4/9/2004

Issue

Groups Affected Hospital

Providers disagreed with policy that allows payment on one-day discharge only for death or discharge to another facility.

Resolved

1/18/04

Resolved:

**Impact** Claims were being denied and needed to be submitted as outpatient.

**Resolution** SRS and EDS reviewed policy and the system and determined that same-day admit and discharge will be allowed.

System was updated and all claims that were denied for this criteria were reprocessed.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Item Reference HSPT 1.5

Date Drafted 2/29/2004

Date Revised 8/17/2004

**Groups Affected** Hospitals and Adult Care Home

**Issue** Outpatient claims were incorrectly being denied for admitting diagnosis. Issues reoccurred at the end of March. 3/25/2004

**Impact** Claims without an admitting diagnosis were denied incorrectly for error code 360.

**Resolution** A system change was identified and implemented on 3/25/04. EDS resubmitted claims that were denied in error on

8/13/2004. (TO 6702)

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

**Item Reference** HSPT 1.6

Date Drafted 3/2/2004

Date Revised 4/9/2004

**Groups Affected** 

**Issue** Claims with a referring provider number present on the claim were being denied stating they needed a referral.

Resolved 2/29/2004

System

Corrected:

3/26/2004

Cleanup:

8/20/2004

Impact Claims were being denied for referral.

Hospital

**Resolution** ASK identified the problem causing this issue. The system was corrected on 2/29.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

**Item Reference** HSPT 1.7

**Date Drafted** 2/29/2004

Date Revised

Issue

8/27/2004

**Groups Affected** Hospital

Lab HCPCS codes are being denied when ER E & M codes are present on the claim.

**Impact** Claims are being denied in error.

**Resolution** This issue was a result of EDS not converting outpatient claims to medical claims to process them for ER claims after

HIPAA. As in interim solution, these claims were being worked manually and all services on the same date of service and the same claim as an E & M Emergency Room code were being forced. (CO 5270/5324). This issue was corrected. EDS completed the reprocessing of claims on 8/20/2004. EDS will provide an updated status when the system release

date for this issue is established.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

Item Reference HSPT 1.8

Date Drafted 3/2/2004

Date Revised 4/9/2004

**Groups Affected** 

**Issue** Procedure codes valid as of 2003 were being denied as invalid even if the interChange MMIS showed the code as valid.

Resolved 12/30/2003

**Impact** Claims were being denied for invalid procedure code.

**Resolution** EDS updated procedure code edits.

Hospital

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Item Reference HSPT 1.9

Date Drafted 3/2/2004

Date Revised 4/9/2004

**Groups Affected** Hospital

Resolved

**Issue** Medicare crossover claims were being denied for EOB 417 instead of only denying specific line items.

2/10/2004

**Impact** Entire claim was denied when only one line item should have been denied.

**Resolution** EDS updated the edits associated with EOB 417 so that it would deny at the detail level instead of the claim (header)

level.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Item Reference HSPT 1.10

Date Drafted 3/2/2004

**Date Revised** 8/17/2004

**Groups Affected** Hospital

**Issue** Claims with TC and 26 modifiers were being processed incorrectly.

**Impact** Radiology claims were being denied as duplicates in error.

**Resolution** Resolution was completed on 3/5/2004. This issue was re-identified on 4/25/2004. The system was updated on

5/18/2004. (TO 6687) EDS resubmitted the denied claims on 8/13/2004.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

-----

Item Reference HSPT 1.13

Date Drafted 3/2/2004

Date Revised 7/9/2004

**Groups Affected** Hospital

**Issue** Medicare inpatient claims paid with Part B benefits are not processing as third-party liability (TPL).

Ongoing research

Resolved:

5/18/2004

**Impact** Claims are being paid with a Medicare allowed amount that is less than TPL would pay.

**Resolution** EDS is implementing new processes to ensure the accuracy of keyed data. Claims are being adjusted as identified by the

providers. Changes were put into production on 4/26/2004 to have inpatient claims with Medicare Part B processed as

TPL.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

Item ReferenceHSPT 1.15Date Drafted3/2/2004

Date Revised 4/30/2004

**Groups Affected** Hospital

**Issue** Psychiatric claims were being denied for prior authorization when other insurance made a payment.

Resolved 3/12/04

**Impact** Claims were being denied in error.

**Resolution** Resolution page will be updated to state claims are to be paid and not denied. System automation is currently

being identified so manual intervention is not needed when other insurance is involved

**Provider Action** Cleanup has been completed. If providers still have claims they believe were denied in error they should

resubmit the claims for processing.

**Item Reference** HSPT 1.16

Date Drafted 3/2/2004

Date Revised 4/30/2004
Groups Affected Hospital

**Issue** Fetal monitoring was being denied for claims due to medical policy.

Resolved 1/19/2004

**Impact** Claims were being denied for delivery due to fetal monitoring being present on the claim.

**Resolution** The SRS program manager approved a system change to not require medical necessity for fetal monitoring. This change

was implemented on 1/19/2004.

**Provider Action** Providers need to resubmit claims since the claims processed correctly per policy at the time. In addition, medical

necessity denial code is used for many instances so claims cannot be easily identified through system review.

Item Reference HSPT 1.17

Date Drafted 3/2/2004

Date Revised 4/9/2004

**Groups Affected** Hospital

**Issue** SOBRA claims were being denied due to noncoverage of emergency services without a local SRS approval.

Resolved 4/1/2004

Impact Claims were being denied unless delivery is procedure code on claim.

**Resolution** SOBRA claims are paid automatically only if labor and delivery is involved. Even if it is an emergency or life/death

situation, the hospital manual clearly states the SRS field office must approve payment of claim before submission to

EDS for payment.

**Provider Action** Review SOBRA guidelines and ensure that proper steps are taken before billing the claim.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

Item Reference HSPT 1.20

**Date Drafted** 3/23/2004

Date Revised 5/7/2004

**Groups Affected** Hospital

Issue Claims that post edit 570 will no longer be denied automatically when billed on the Internet or on paper. These claims

will suspend for review of the patient status code on the "from" and "to" dates and be processed accordingly. The same

Resolved:

4/19/04

day admit/discharge inpatient claim should not be denied with edit 570.

**Impact** Hospital claims are automatically being denied by error code 570 for "total days billed less than covered days." These

claims should suspend for review of the patient status code and the "from" and "to" dates. When the system was corrected for this issue, 90% of the inpatient claims started to suspend for another system issue. The claims could not be released from the system until the system was corrected; otherwise, they would be denied. This issue was corrected on Friday, 4/16/04 but was not in time for the financial cycle. Provider's remittance advices for inpatient claims reflected denials for the week; however, very few paid claims appeared. Those paid claims were on the 4/29/04 remittance advices

as they were confirmed to be in a paid status for this issue on 4/19/04.

**Resolution** The cause of the incorrect denials was identified and corrected on 4/16/2004. Reprocessing of suspended claims occurred

on 4/16/2004. EDS resubmitted the denied claims on 4/29/2004. (CO 5648)

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

Item ReferenceHSPT 1.22Date Drafted4/9/2004

Date Revised 4/9/2004
Groups Affected Hospital

**Issue** Mom/baby claims were being denied, especially if they were submitted through ASK.

Impact Claims were being denied in error and were underpaid.

**Resolution** The system was changed to verify that the diagnosis, procedure, and revenue codes are newborn related. V3000 and

V3001 diagnosis codes were excluded from the newborn diagnosis table. SRS approved adding V3000 and V3001 as

Resolved 4/7/2004

Resolved: 5/7/2004

newborn diagnosis codes.

**Provider Action** Verify that any denied claims meet the processing guidelines. If the claim meets the guidelines, you can resubmit the

claim. If the claim does not meet the guideline, please review and update if appropriate billing and resubmit.

Item Reference HSPT 1.23

 Date Drafted
 4/9/2004

 Date Revised
 5/28/2004

**Groups Affected** Hospital

**Inpatient** psychiatric claims were being denied for "no prior authorization (PA) on file."

**Impact** Claims were being denied in error.

**Resolution** The system was expecting the date of service on the claim to be completely within the approved dates on the PA.

Psychiatric claims only require the "admit date" to be within the approved dates on the PA. Claims will now suspend for manual review and appropriate approval. (Task 6384) All psychiatric claims with erroneous denials for "no PA on file"

were reprocessed for reconsideration of payment on 5/7/2004.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

Item Reference HSPT 1.25

**Date Drafted** 4/15/2004

**Date Revised** 6/11/2004

**Groups Affected** Hospital

Resolved: Claims with a discharge status of 40 - 70 cannot be billed on the Internet. Issue 6/4/2004

**Impact** Providers who do not have electronic means other than the KMAP Web site to submit electronic claims must submit

claims on paper.

Resolution CO 6654 added discharge codes 40 – 70 as valid codes for the Internet UB-92 inpatient claim form. (CO 6654)

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Item Reference HSPT 1.29

**Date Drafted** 4/27/2004

**Date Revised** 4/27/2004

**Groups Affected** Physician and Hospital

Issue The ET modifier was sometimes reducing emergency room fees down to the 99281 payment, which is a lower amount. Resolved:

4/27/2004

**Impact** A potential underpayment could occur.

Resolution KMAP pays emergency rooms higher rates only for an emergent diagnosis. If a claim does not have an emergent

diagnosis, it will be reduced to the lower emergency room evaluation code (99281) rate.

Review billing practices to determine if emergent codes are being used when appropriate to do so. If not, claims will **Provider Action** 

continue to decrease to lower rate.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

Resolved:

4/27/2004

Resolved:

4/15/04

Item Reference HSPT 1.31

**Date Drafted** 4/27/2004

Date Revised 4/27/2004

**Groups Affected** Hospital

**Issue** The WC modifier price cannot be found on the fee schedule.

**Impact** Provider unsure what the reimbursement rate should be for billed claims.

**Resolution** The price for the WC modifier is listed under the different rate types for the ambulatory surgical center fee schedule

section.

**Provider Action** Request fee schedule if you need complete information on various fees.

Item Reference HSPT 1.32

Date Drafted 5/4/2004

Date Revised 5/14/2004

**Groups Affected** Hospital

**Inpatient** claims were being denied for no "to date of service" on the detail level.

Impact Claims were being underpaid.

**Resolution** Exception 240, which requires a "To Date of Service," was being denied in error. Inpatient claims do not require a "To

Date of Service." This issue occurred from approximately April 7-15 and was corrected on April 15. EDS resubmitted

the denied claims on 4/29/2004. (TO 6388)

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

Item ReferenceHSPT 1.33Date Drafted5/4/2004Date Revised5/4/2004

Groups Affected Hospital

**Issue** Outpatient claims were being denied for no procedure code for drugs and pharmaceuticals.

**Impact** Providers perceived that they were being underpaid.

**Resolution** All outpatient details historically and in the new system have always required a procedure, HCPCS, or CPT on every

detail line to process and pay correctly. For drug and pharmaceutical claims, hospitals are billing revenue codes only, as if billing inpatient claims. This is not a policy change. The only way to price a claim for outpatient is to know the

specific "J" code and in most cases, NDC and drug name on the claim. Without the drug that was provided for outpatient

Resolved:

4/30/2004

service, KMAP cannot determine the price to reimburse the hospital.

**Provider Action** Providers need to evaluate their billing system to ensure that the "J" code is included on the claims for drugs and

pharmaceuticals for outpatient claims. In addition, if the "J" code is nonclassified or can cover multiple dosages, the NDC must be included in the remarks section of the HCFA 1500 or comment section of the 837 transaction. If providers have previously paid claims involving other insurance, do not resubmit as new claims to process the remaining lines.

Please submit adjustment requests so the claim can process as a whole against other insurance paid amount.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

Item Reference	HSPT 1.34	
<b>Date Drafted</b>	5/4/2004	
Date Revised	7/20/2004	
<b>Groups Affected</b>	Hospital	
Issue	Outpatient claims were being denied for no revenue code on the claim.	Resolved:
Impact	Claims were being denied incorrectly.	4/26/2004
Resolution	The system was corrected on 4/26/2004 to not post a revenue code error message on the claim when none was submitted on outpatient claims. EDS ran a system query to identify if any claims actually were denied due to the revenue code error message posting on the claim. No claims denied for this reason; thus, there are no claims to reprocess. Future claims will not have the confusing message on the remittance advice. (CO 6707)	
<b>Provider Action</b>	No action is needed.	

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

Resolved

1/16/2004

Resolved:

# **Provider Community: Local Education Agencies**

Item Reference LEA 1.0

 Date Drafted
 2/29/2004

 Date Revised
 4/9/2004

**Groups Affected** Local Education Agencies

Issue New LEA policy was implemented on 1/1/2004 that required a new place of service value. Providers were not aware

until 12/1/2003. The ASK system was also not prepared to receive new values.

**Impact** Claims were being denied for an invalid place of service. Providers were not able to get claims paid.

**Resolution** Denied claims were identified and corrected on 1/9/2004 remittance advices producing \$1.7 million in payments to LEAs.

ASK completed system changes on 1/16/2004.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

\_\_\_\_\_

Item Reference LEA 1.1

Date Drafted 6/2/2004

**Date Revised** 7/16/2004

**Groups Affected** Local Education Agency

**Issue** LEA claims were being denied for submission to Medicare in error. 7/16/2004

**Impact** Claims were being denied incorrectly.

**Resolution** EDS ran reports to identify claims associated with this issue. The reports did not show any services for LEA providers

that were denied for Medicare related edits. If a provider has examples, please send them to EDS.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

**Provider Community: Pharmacy** 

**Item Reference PHAR 1.0 Date Drafted** 2/29/2004 **Date Revised** 4/9/2004 **Groups Affected** Pharmacy Resolved Pharmacies did not understand new spenddown processing related to charges to collected from beneficiaries. 11/2003 Issue **Impact** Some pharmacies did not collect required spenddown amounts from beneficiaries. Resolution Education was provided to pharmacies. EDS and SRS solicited input from pharmacies and implemented a solution to return amounts to collect from beneficiaries affected by spenddown in the co-pay field. **Provider Action** No action is needed.

Item Reference PHAR 1.1

**Date Drafted** 2/29/2004

Date Revised 4/9/2004

Groups Affected Pharmacy Resolved 10/18/2003

**Issue** Some covered national drug codes (NDCs) could not be loaded systematically and had to be loaded manually.

**Impact** Until affected NDCs were loaded, claims were denied as not covered on the date of service.

**Resolution** Affected NDCs were corrected on 10/18/2003.

**Provider Action** Provider may need to resubmit outstanding claims.

Resolved 10/21/2003

**Item Reference** PHAR 1.2

**Date Drafted** 2/29/2004

Date Revised 4/9/2004

**Groups Affected** 

**Issue** Pharmacies were not receiving the ingredient cost field in claim responses.

**Impact** Providers were unsure of how to post paid claims.

Pharmacy

**Resolution** This field was added to all pharmacy claim responses effective 10/21/2003.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

**Item Reference** PHAR 1.3

**Date Drafted** 2/29/2004

Date Revised 4/9/2004

Groups Affected Pharmacy Resolved 10/24/2003

**Issue** Some edits and audits were not mapped to NCPDP reject codes.

**Impact** Providers were unsure of how to interpret reject codes.

**Resolution** Updates to affected codes were completed on 10/24/2003.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

**Item Reference** PHAR 1.4

**Date Drafted** 2/29/2004

Date Revised 4/9/2004

Groups Affected Pharmacy Resolved 10/17/2003

**Issue** Providers received denials for drug claims for foster care and hospice beneficiaries.

Impact Providers did not receive payments on affected claims between 10/16/2003 and 10/17/2003.

**Resolution** The system change was identified and implemented on 10/17/2003.

**Provider Action** Providers may need to resubmit any outstanding claims.

**Item Reference** PHAR 1.5

**Date Drafted** 2/29/2004

Date Revised 4/9/2004

**Groups Affected** Pharmacy

**Issue** Pharmacies indicated a need to use usual and customary charges on pharmacy claims.

**Impact** This issue affected the amount interChange uses to reduce a beneficiary's spenddown record as well as drug rebate

amounts.

**Resolution** Use of usual and customer charges were not included in NCPDP 5.1. This issue is currently being reviewed in

conjunction with changes being made to support spenddown processing. (CO# 6040)

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

**Item Reference** PHAR 1.7

**Date Drafted** 4/7/2004

Date Revised 7/16/2004

**Groups Affected** Pharmacy

**Issue** Pharmacies using QS1 software were billing incorrectly on dual-insurance beneficiaries.

**Impact** In researching this issue, EDS found that when billing for beneficiaries with dual insurance, pharmacies using QS1 could

possibly be underpaid \$1.50 to \$3 per claim. Pharmacies will need to adjust these claims.

**Resolution** QS1 updated their software on June 11, 2004, and the issue of billing for beneficiaries with dual insurance through QS1

should be resolved. QS1 pharmacy users need to download the newest version of QS1. The EDI team is working with QS1 to inform pharmacy users. EDS tested this change June 21-28 to ensure the pharmacies that are billing QS1's new version are being paid correctly. Test results showed that QS1 software is working correctly when providers bill for

Resolved:

6/16/2004

Resolved:

5/12/2004

beneficiaries with dual insurance. A global message was posted by July 2, 2004.

**Provider Action** Pharmacies will need to adjust these claims.

**Item Reference** PHAR 1.8

**Date Drafted** 5/12/2004

Date Revised 6/11/2004

**Groups Affected** Pharmacy / DME

**Issue** DME claims crossing over from Medicare for diabetic testing supplies were being denied.

**Impact** Claims were being denied, and providers were not being paid.

**Resolution** Medicare requires that the DME supplier bill the range of dates for diabetic supplies. This range includes future dates.

For instance, if the DME supplier is billing on 5/1/04, they bill 5/1/04 to 5/31/04. These claims were being denied

correctly in KMAP as KMAP does not allow future billing dates. Claims with future dates must be billed on paper with

the remittance advice.

**Provider Action** If denials received for future dates are invalid, the provider must bill the claim on paper and attach the Medicare

remittance advice.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

**Item Reference** PHAR 1.9

 Date Drafted
 5/12/2004

 Date Revised
 7/21/2004

**Groups Affected** Pharmacy and DME

**Issue** DME codes not subject to CLIA editing were being denied for needing a CLIA number.

Resolved: 5/7/2004

**Impact** Providers were being underpaid.

**Resolution** The parameter from the old system to deny for CLIA did not include DME. The DME codes were removed from the list

for needing CLIA. EDS updated the file and resolved the issue. EDS identified and reprocessed the claims denied in

error on 7/15/2004. (CO 6281)

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Item Reference PHAR 1.10

**Date Drafted** 5/12/2004

**Date Revised** 7/21/2004

**Groups Affected** Pharmacy and DME

**Issue** Claims were being paid in error when E0570 (nebulizer) was billed over limit.

Resolved: 4/29/2004

**Impact** Providers were being overpaid.

**Resolution** Claims were being paid in error when the beneficiary had already received a nebulizer (E0570) within the last three

calendar years. The issue was identified and resolved on 4/29/04. EDS submitted the adjustments on 7/15/2004 for the

claims paid in error. (CO 6287)

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

Resolved

1/15/2004

## **Provider Community: State Institutions**

Item Reference STIN 1.0

**Date Drafted** 2/29/2004

Date Revised 4/9/2004

**Groups Affected** State Institutions

**Issue** Claims submitted by state institutions were being denied for invalid type of bill and other edits due to transition of these

facilities from turnaround documents to the UB92 form.

**Impact** Payments to two state institutions were delayed for approximately-8 weeks.

**Resolution** This issue was resolved through testing and billing education with both facilities as of 1/8/2004 and 1/15/2004.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

## **Provider Community: Electronic Submitters**

**Item Reference** EDI 1.1

 Date Drafted
 2/29/2004

 Date Revised
 4/9/2004

**Impact** 

**Groups Affected** Electronic Submitters

**Issue** Claims were being denied for "beneficiary name is missing" or "invalid beneficiary ID."

Electronic providers were not supplying the beneficiary name in the correct field as required by the SRS HIPAA

Resolved

11/15/2003

Resolved 10/21/2003

companion guides for claims transactions.

**Resolution** EDS and SRS resolved this issue through education with providers and electronic submitters as well as updates to the EDI

companion guides clarifying the cardholder ID field.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Item Reference EDI 1.2

**Date Drafted** 2/29/2004

Date Revised 4/9/2004

Groups Affected Electronic Submitters

ASK was not providing the correct qualifier for the provider ID field.

**Impact** Affected electronic providers perceived their electronic claims were "lost."

**Resolution** ASK identified and corrected the issue on 10/21/2003. ASK resubmitted previously denied claims.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

**Item Reference** EDI 1.3

**Date Drafted** 2/29/2004

Date Revised 4/9/2004

**Groups Affected** Electronic Submitters

Resolved Billed date was imported as 1903 instead of 2003

Resolved 11/4/2003

Impact This error affected 6,644 claims (multiple providers).

**Resolution** These providers were using an old version of PACS. Edit 554 (billed date is prior to date of service) was changed to

prevent claims from being denied for this reason in the future. Affected claims were identified, corrected and

reprocessed.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

**Item Reference** EDI 1.4

**Date Drafted** 2/29/2004 **Date Revised** 4/9/2004

**Groups Affected** Electronic Submitters

**Issue** The ASK file system was creating duplicate file names for multiple files. The EDS system only detected the first file and

Resolved

12/5/2003

did not acknowledge the duplicate files.

**Impact** Providers' electronic submissions were not being processed

**Resolution** ASK and EDS identified the duplicate files and resubmitted the files for the providers.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

**Item Reference** EDI 1.5

Date Drafted 2/29/2004

Date Revised 4/9/2004

**Groups Affected** Electronic Submitters

**Issue** ASK was rejecting claims with an error that the provider was submitting an invalid diagnosis code. ASK does not receive

Resolved 11/14/2003

mainframe diagnosis code updates since interChange was implemented.

**Impact** Providers that submitted invalid diagnosis codes received rejections from ASK.

**Resolution** ASK removed this edit from their EDI engine on 11/06 so the claims will be sent to interChange to appropriately

adjudicate.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

Resolved

1/30/2004

Resolved: 6/4/2004

**Provider Community: General** 

Item ReferenceGENP 1.0Date Drafted2/29/2004

Date Revised 4/30/2004

**Groups Affected** All: (Primarily HCBS & Home Health)

**Issue** MMIS was not correctly locating approved prior authorization records (plans of care) on file.

**Impact** Claims were being denied for "PA not found on database" or were not decrementing the correct PA and therefore causing

incorrect denials. This impacted all providers, including Home Health and HCBS.

**Resolution** The system was corrected on 1/30/2004. EDS will reprocessed the claims that were denied in error. (CO 4829)

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Item Reference GENP 1.4

**Date Drafted** 2/29/2004

Date Revised 5/7/2004

**Groups Affected** All

**Issue** Providers were experiencing inadequate access to customer service.

**Impact** Providers were not able to reach Customer Service for KMAP program assistance or claims resolution.

**Resolution** The Customer Service queue size and allocation of dedicated lines was increased on 1/29/2004 as an interim solution.

EDS added 12 employees to customer service on 4/23/2004. Improvement was immediate. This issue will continue to be monitored. Customer Service is now averaging hold times of approximately 2 minutes. We appreciate your patience and

hope you are experiencing significant improvement in response times.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

Resolved

12/26/2003

Resolved

2/3/2004

Item Reference GENP 1.6

**Date Drafted** 2/29/2004 **Date Revised** 4/9/2004

**Groups Affected** All Providers Billing For MediKAN Services

**Issue** MediKAN benefit plan was not set up correctly to generate payments to providers on behalf of beneficiaries with

MediKAN coverage.

**Impact** 12,847 professional claims and 1,927 institutional claims were denied between 10/20/2003 and 12/26/2003.

**Resolution** The system was corrected on 12/26/2003. All affected claims were recycled by the 1/22/2004 remittance advice.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

**Item Reference** GENP 1.7

 Date Drafted
 2/29/2004

 Date Revised
 4/9/2004

Groups Affected All

**Issue** The Internet claims resubmission option was not correctly resubmitting claims. Claims were being associated

sporadically with the wrong provider.

**Impact** Providers cannot access and correct previously denied claims on the KMAP secure site. Providers received incorrect

information on remittance advices.

**Resolution** EDS temporarily disabled the ability for both EDS and providers to perform Internet resubmissions on 2/2 and 2/3.

Providers who attempted to resubmit claims were informed of the temporary disablement by an automated message. The

function was re-enabled around 5 p.m. on 2/3/04.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

Resolved

12/5/2003

Resolved

3/3/2004

Item Reference **GENP 1.8** 

**Date Drafted** 2/29/2004

**Date Revised** 4/9/2004

**Groups Affected** All

Issue

Providers could not search for eligibility on the Internet by name and date of birth.

**Impact** Without being able to search by name, providers were not able to verify eligibility for some patients prior to providing

services.

Resolution This search ability was added on 12/5/2003.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Item Reference **GENP 1.10** 

**Date Drafted** 2/29/2004

**Date Revised** 4/9/2004

**Groups Affected** All

Providers reported that when requesting eligibility information, they intermittently received information on a beneficiary Issue

other than the one they originally requested.

If the provider did not notice that the response was for someone other than requested, they may have provided services for **Impact** 

someone who was not eligible or informed a beneficiary who was eligible that they were not eligible.

Resolution This issue was resolved.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

 Item Reference
 GENP 1.11
 System Corrected:

 Date Drafted
 2/29/2004
 3/26/2004

Date Revised 8/27/2004

Cleanup: 8/20/2004

**Issue** HealthConnect Kansas-related claims are not processing as intended. ER claims, lab and radiology providers, and

ambulance, to name a few, are being reviewed to ensure they are being paid appropriately.

**Impact** Claims are being denied when they should be paid for some providers.

**Resolution** EDS reviewed and modified exception code 1050 (HealthConnect Kansas referral) to ensure that the policy for

HealthConnect Kansas referrals is being applied correctly. CO 5324 was implemented on 3/26/04. CO 5270 was implemented on 3/4/04. CO 5270 set claims to suspend for manual intervention effective 3/8/04. Claims affected by CO 5270 and 5324 for HealthConnect referral were reprocessed, even though the diagnosis was emergent, and appeared on the 8/26/2004 remittance advice. All HealthConnect Kansas claims have been suspended so they can be worked

manually to try to decrease the number of claims processed incorrectly. EDS completed reprocessing of claims on

8/20/2004.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

**Item Reference** GENP 1.16

**Date Drafted** 4/12/2004

Date Revised 6/25/2004

**Groups Affected** All

**Issue** KMAP Web site does not display secondary insurance information.

**Impact** Without calling EDS, providers cannot determine the secondary insurer on file. The KMAP Web site states there is no

TPL involvement when the MMIS does have TPL on file.

**Resolution** This issue only occurs randomly, and the core issue has not been determined. Research of examples provided indicate

that while the beneficiary had TPL on file, the dates entered in the search were for months that the beneficiary was

Resolved:

6/23/2004

Resolved:

6/252004

ineligible for KMAP. No eligibility or TPL will be returned on the Internet when this occurs. (CO 6786)

**Provider Action** If provider receives a TPL denial and no TPL is on the web site, please contact beneficiary to get secondary insurance

information.

**Item Reference** GENP 1.19

Date Drafted 4/12/2004

Date Revised 7/9/2004

**Groups Affected** All

**Issue** Claims with the same procedure code but a different modifier were being denied against each other.

**Impact** Providers were being underpaid.

**Resolution** The modifiers identified were not on the list to bypass duplicate auditing. The claims were processing according to

policy. Research has been completed. The claims processed correctly. Per policy these modifiers are ignored during

duplicate auditing.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

Item Reference GENP 1.21

**Date Drafted** 4/15/2004

**Date Revised** 7/21/2004

Groups Affected All Resolved: 6/4/2004

Claims initially were processed as Medicare and should have been TPL (and vice versa) could not be adjusted due to the

system not allowing a change in claim type.

**Impact** Underpayments and/or overpayments occurred depending on the specifics of each claim.

**Resolution** System issue was resolved on 6/4/2004. EDS reprocessed the adjustments in mid-July. (CO 5168)

**Provider Action** Provider can void original claim on the Internet and resubmit new claim for processing as an interim solution.

**Item Reference** GENP 1.22

**Date Drafted** 4/15/2004

Date Revised 8/6/2004

Groups Affected All

**Issue** Reprocessing and mass adjustments were occurring and incorrectly resulted in recoupments.

**Impact** Cash flow problems occurred for providers already impacted by system issues.

**Resolution** This issue impacts rate changes, reprocessing to fix PCA codes, adjustments to increase payment on HCBS claims, and

spenddown adjustments. These adjustments (which caused recoupments) impacted providers with existing cash flow issues. SRS placed adjustments on hold/review to evaluate the impact. EDS implemented a system change to evaluate overrides for items processed prior to 10/16/2003. These overrides allow claims to process for fields now needed such as admit diagnosis on inpatient claims. (CO 6904) This item is also covered in GENP 1.51. Please refer to GENP 1.51 for

future updates.

**Provider Action** Overpayments, such as duplicate payments, will not be recouped automatically at this time. If the provider wants

recoupments initiated to balance their books, please submit the request on an individual basis and the recoupment will be

completed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

Resolved:

5/3/2004

Resolved: 4/15/2004

Item Reference GENP 1.23

**Date Drafted** 4/15/2004

Date Revised 5/7/2004

**Groups Affected** All

Issue

The co-pay indicator was enabled for dual Medicare/Medicaid beneficiaries.

**Impact** Beneficiaries were being required to pay the co-pay when providers believed that they should not pay.

**Resolution** EDS researched the issues and determined that according to state policy, Medicare eligibility does not exempt

beneficiaries from a co-pay requirement. Some beneficiaries are exempt based on their level of care.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Item Reference GENP 1.24

**Date Drafted** 4/15/2004

**Date Revised** 7/21/2004

**Groups Affected** All

**Issue** For IUD and Norplant insertions, the drug was being denied and the procedure was being paid.

**Impact** Providers were being underpaid.

**Resolution** The table was updated to prevent denials for edit 5525. EDS identified and resubmitted the claims denied in error on

7/7/2004 for reconsideration of payment. (Task 6400)

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

Resolved:

4/13/2004

Resolved:

4/22/2004

Item Reference GENP 1.26

**Date Drafted** 4/15/2004

Date Revised 7/9/2004

Groups Affected All

**Issue** Claims for circumcision were being denied for unacceptable diagnosis code when billed with diagnosis code V502.

**Impact** Claims were being denied incorrectly.

**Resolution** The V502 diagnosis code was added as a valid diagnosis code for circumcision on 4/13/2004. (TO 6510)

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Item Reference GENP 1.27

**Date Drafted** 4/22/2004

**Date Revised** 4/22/2004

**Groups Affected** Physician and Hospital

**Issue** Claims for sterilization were being denied when the form was attached.

**Impact** Claims were not being paid.

**Resolution** Tighter controls were put in place to ensure that the claims received have the federally-mandated sterilization form.

**Provider Action** Providers must ensure that they use the proper forms. Hospitals must ensure that they review the form that the provider

uses prior to the sterilization to receive payment.

Item Reference GENP 1.28

Date Drafted 4/22/2004

Date Revised 4/30/2004

**Groups Affected** Physician and Hospital

**Issue** Professional and facility charges for sterilization were being denied when the form was attached.

Impact Claims were not being paid.

**Resolution** When the professional and facility bill the exact same code without a modifier, the system views it as one sterilization per

lifetime and denies the claim. Since the WC modifier was previously used, the system would differentiate that the claims were the same date of service, but one was facility and one was physician. The system was changed on 4/23/2004 to recognize that the following provider types and specialties are not duplicates to the physician's claim: 01/010, 01/351,

Resolved:

4/23/2004

02/020, and 42/010. (CO 6427, 6428)

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

**Item Reference** GENP 1.29

Date Drafted 4/27/2004

Date Revised 6/4/2004

**Groups Affected** All

Claims were disappearing that were submitted since 3/1/04.

**Impact** Effective 3/1/2004, old provider numbers cannot be submitted on claims sent to EDS. Providers will not see these claims

on their remittance advice or through the Web site.

**Resolution** Claims with the old provider numbers are not cross referenced to the provider remittance advice or returned. The system

denies the claims but keeps the record under the beneficiary ID and date of service billed. Providers will not see the claims on their remittance advice or through the Web site. No change is planned for electronic claims as providers are not sending accurate billing to be captured in the system by the new provider number. EDS has no paper document able to

Resolved:

5/7/2004

return.

**Provider Action** Submit claims with new provider numbers. If you believe that your claim was submitted with the new provider number,

call customer service and inquire by beneficiary number and date of service to determine if the claim was received and

number accurate in the system from what was submitted.

Item Reference GENP 1.30

**Date Drafted** 4/27/2004

Date Revised 5/7/2004

**Groups Affected** Inpatient

**Issue** EDS was keying an extra line on claims, which caused claims to be denied.

Resolved: 5/3/2004

**Impact** Providers were being underpaid.

**Resolution** For paper claims, the total line was being entered into the MMIS as a line item; therefore, the claim was denied because

there was no date of service. This also doubled the total billed amount on the claim. The character recognition software

was corrected.

**Provider Action** Providers need to call customer service to request a claim to be reprocessed or resubmit the claim. Due to the various

denial messages that can be received, this issue is too large to narrow to the specific claims for EDS to reprocess.

Item Reference GENP 1.31

**Date Drafted** 4/27/2004

Date Revised 5/21/2004

**Groups Affected** Physician and Hospital

**Issue** For emergency room claims, either the professional claim or the facility claim was being paid and the other was being

Resolved: 5/14/2004

denied as a duplicate.

**Impact** Claims were not being paid.

**Resolution** Both claims should pay for professional component and facility. EDS is researching this issue. The examples that EDS

received did not reflect duplicate denial. The denials were for invalid modifier.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

**Item Reference** GENP 1.32

Date Drafted 4/27/2004

Date Revised 7/28/2004

**Groups Affected** All

**Issue** For consultations, the Internet was not allowing the referring provider number to be submitted on the claim.

**Impact** Providers were unable to process claims through the Internet. Providers wanted the use of a dummy provider number,

which is not available at this time.

**Resolution** The system only evaluates the claim to determine if the referring provider number on the claim is valid. It does not

review for the PCP. If claims are being denied for this reason, examples need to be provided. For the dummy provider

number, SRS is taking into consideration if one should be established for billing purposes.

**Provider Action** Submit claims on the Internet with a valid provider number. Service location is not reviewed for consultations.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

Item Reference	GENP 1.33	
<b>Date Drafted</b>	4/27/2004	
Date Revised	6/4/2004	
<b>Groups Affected</b>	All	
Issue	Electronic Medicare crossover claims were being denied with a statement that it must be billed to the primary insurance or that it requires an EOB.	
Impact	Providers were being underpaid.	Resolved:
Resolution	Providers were submitting EOB/payment information with their claims; however, the EOB that was attached did not match the date of service, billed amount, or beneficiary name. The remittance advice message that KMAP uses is a HIPAA-compliant message. Due to the generic nature, the message did not state that the EOB needs to be reviewed for accuracy. In addition, claims submitted electronically with no third-party liability on file will receive this message.	4/27/2004
Provider Action	When receiving the message that the provider must bill the primary insurance or that it requires an EOB, the provider should ensure that the EOB submitted with the paper claim matches the claim detail for billed amount, beneficiary name, and date of service. For denied electronic claims, review eligibility on the Web site for that date of service. If there is no third-party liability on the Web site, the claim needs to be submitted on paper for EDS to contact other insurer and update the files.	

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

N/A

Resolved:

5/3/2004

Item Reference GENP 1.34

Date Drafted 4/27/2004

Date Revised 6/7/2004

**Groups Affected** Physician and Hospital

**Issue** At the Provider Task Force Meeting, it was reported that only one surgery was being paid when multiple surgeries were

performed.

**Impact** Claims were not being paid.

**Resolution** Examples of this issue were not provided for EDS to research after the meeting. If a provider has examples of this issue,

please send to EDS, Attention: Angie Casey. Since no examples have been received, this item is being closed.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Item Reference GENP 1.36

**Date Drafted** 4/27/2004

Date Revised 8/17/2004

**Groups Affected** Physician

**Issue** CPT code 81000 (urine analysis) was being denied because it was bundled even when it was the only item billed on the

claim.

**Impact** Providers were potentially being underpaid.

**Resolution** EDS received examples of this issue and the reference file was updated on 5/3/2004. Claims reprocessing associated with

CO 6493 was completed on 6/14/04. Claims reprocessing associated with CO 6708 was completed on 8/13/2004.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

Resolved: 4/27/2004

Resolved:

2/1/2004

**Item Reference** GENP 1.37

 Date Drafted
 4/27/2004

 Date Revised
 4/27/2004

Issue

**Groups Affected** Physician and Hospital

Office visit claims were being denied as M90 message (not covered more than once in a 12 month period).

**Impact** Providers perceived that they were being underpaid.

**Resolution** This is a correct denial. Medicaid pays for only one comprehensive office visit every 12 months.

**Provider Action** Ensure patient has not had a comprehensive office visit evaluation in the last 12 months.

Item Reference GENP 1.38

Date Drafted 5/4/2004

Date Revised 5/14/2004

Groups Affected All

**Issue** Claims were being denied as a noncovered diagnosis code for MediKAN beneficiaries.

**Impact** Providers were being underpaid.

**Resolution** This issue was resolved to allow MediKAN beneficiaries' claims to process correctly. The 4314 exception is no longer

being enabled in error. (CO 5234)

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

**Item Reference** GENP 1.39

 Date Drafted
 5/4/2004

 Date Revised
 5/4/2004

**Groups Affected** LTC and HCBS

**Issue** LTC and HCBS claims were being denied for invalid level of care.

**Impact** 550 beneficiaries had level of care updated inadvertently when patient liability updates were made. This caused claims to

be denied in error.

**Resolution** When the SRS worker sent a patient liability change for an HCBS beneficiary, the level of care effective date was

inadvertently changed as well. If an effective date for level of care is in the system already, the system should not allow a change in effective date later than the existing date. The system was corrected to accept the earlier of the two dates as the

Resolved:

3/26/2004

Resolved: 4/22/2004

correct level of care. (TO 6057)

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Item Reference GENP 1.40

Date Drafted 5/4/2004

Date Revised 5/28/2004

**Groups Affected** Physician and Hospital

**Issue** HCPCS code 76886 was being denied for male beneficiaries.

Impact Claims were being underpaid.

**Resolution** The system was corrected on 4/22/04 to allow 76886 for both male and female beneficiaries.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

Item Reference GENP 1.41

Date Drafted 5/4/2004

Date Revised 5/28/2004

**Groups Affected** All

**Issue** Claims with the 22 modifier were not paying at the correct level.

**Impact** Claims were being underpaid.

**Resolution** Historically, the 22 modifier was used as both pricing and just informational. This caused claims to be paid inconsistently

in the new system. The pricing files were updated to reflect the correct price for the 22 modifier combination. The

Resolved:

4/12/2004

Resolved:

4/30/2004

system correction was made on 4/12/04. (TO 6407 and 6052)

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Item Reference GENP 1.42

 Date Drafted
 5/4/2004

 Date Revised
 6/11/2004

Groups Affected All

**Issue** Procedure codes A0200 and A0210 were paying at zero amounts.

Impact Claims were being underpaid.

**Resolution** Procedure codes A0200 and A0210 should suspend for manual pricing (exception 6000) but were not suspending. The

codes were added to the covered benefits needing manual pricing but then failed to allow EDS to manually price rather

than pay at \$0.00. This was corrected on 4/30/04. (TO 6468)

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

**Item Reference GENP 1.44** 

**Date Drafted** 5/4/2004

**Date Revised** 5/14/2004

**Groups Affected** Hospital

Issue Claims with dates of service prior to 3/26/04, but billed after 3/26/04, were being denied with the 32 modifier. Resolved: 4/21/2004

**Impact** Claims were being underpaid.

Resolution Procedure codes 99381, 99382, 99383, 99384, 99385, 99391, 99392, 99393, 99394, 99395, 99201, 99202, 99203, 99204,

> 99205, 99211, 99212, 99213, 99214, and 99215 were being denied in error when billed with the 32 modifier. This issue occurred on claims with a date of service prior to 3/26/04 but billed after 3/26/04. This was corrected on 4/21/2004.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

**Item Reference GENP 1.45** 

**Date Drafted** 5/4/2004

**Date Revised** 5/14/2004

Resolved: **Groups Affected** DME 5/4/2004 CPT code A4221 was being denied in error with EOB 1294. Issue

**Impact** Providers were being underpaid.

The system was corrected to allow proper processing for CPT code A4221. (CO 6347) Resolution

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Item Reference GENP 1.46

**Date Drafted** 5/12/2004

Date Revised 5/12/2004

Groups Affected All

The Web site did not allow providers to correct the name or date of birth for beneficiaries who have denied claims for this

reason.

Resolved: 5/12/2004

**Impact** The perception is that these claims must be billed through another mechanism such as PES, ASK, or paper.

**Resolution** Name and date of birth can be changed on the Internet. Remove the beneficiary ID from the field and tab through the

field. You will receive the message, "Beneficiary ID not on file."

Retype the beneficiary ID into the beneficiary ID field and tab through the field. The DOB and name will now

automatically be updated to the correct information on file.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Item Reference GENP 1.47

**Date Drafted** 5/12/2004

Date Revised 5/12/2004

Groups Affected All

**Issue** Providers want to be able to bill on Friday and receive payment the following week but the Internet submission is

sometimes unavailable.

Resolved 5/12/2004

**Impact** Providers' cash flow for what they are accustomed to is impacted.

**Resolution** Claim processing is to be completed within 30 days of submission. Waiting until Friday, for expected payment on the

following week, provides a very small window to get payment the following week. Every other Friday, system changes are released which may cause the Internet to function slower than normal. We highly encourage billing earlier in the

week for you to potentially receive payment on claims the following week.

**Provider Action** Bill as early in the week as possible to allow system processing time as well as avoiding potential delays on Fridays

during system releases.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

Resolved: 5/26/2004

Resolved:

7/15/2004

**Item Reference** GENP 1.52

**Date Drafted** 6/3/2004

**Date Revised** 7/21/2004

**Groups Affected DME** 

Issue A4450 CPT code was being denied.

**Impact** Claims were being denied incorrectly.

Resolution EDS identified and corrected the system on 5/26/2004. EDS identified claims denied in error on 7/2/2004 and

resubmitted them for reconsideration of payment. (CO 6652)

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Item Reference **GENP 1.53** 

**Date Drafted** 6/3/2004

**Date Revised** 7/9/2004

**Groups Affected** All

Claims has a paid amount but no paid date is online. Issue

**Impact** 

Providers' claims appear to be paid but are not on the warrant.

Resolution

Claims that contain financial errors are listed on a report each week. Each claim is researched individually and resolved.

No system changes are necessary at this time. (CO 6538)

**Provider Action** 

No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

Item Reference GENP 1.54

Date Drafted 6/3/2004

Date Revised 7/21/2004

**Groups Affected** DME

**Issue** CPT code Z1236 was causing claims to be denied incorrectly.

**Impact** Claims were being denied incorrectly.

**Resolution** Z1236 was posting exact duplicate instead of suspect duplicate for claims submitted with Z1236 that edited against other

Z1236 claims with modifier RR. This caused the claims to be denied as duplicate. The system was corrected and claims are now processing correctly. This issue affected all claims submitted with this scenario since 10/16/03. EDS identified

Resolved: 5/13/2004

Resolved:

5/26/2004

and resubmitted claims denied in error on 7/20/2004. (CO 6553)

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Item Reference GENP 1.56

Date Drafted 6/3/2004

Date Revised 7/9/2004

**Groups Affected** All

**Issue** Procedure code 99393 was being denied in error.

**Impact** Claims were being denied incorrectly.

**Resolution** Claims submitted with procedure code 99393, modifier 32 and place of service 71 were being denied in error for dates of

service 3/26/04 and after. This issue was resolved and claims are now processing correctly. EDS identified and

resubmitted claims denied in error on 7/2/2004. (CO 6632)

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

Item Reference GENP 1.58

Date Drafted 6/3/2004

**Date Revised** 8/6/2004

Groups Affected All

**Issue** Claims were being denied for procedure code J0207.

**Impact** Claims were being denied incorrectly.

**Resolution** A provider submitted examples where claims were denied for CPT J0207. While small in scope, EDS resolved the issue

and will ran a query to identify additional claims that were denied in error. EDS reprocessed erroneously denied claims

Resolved:

5/28/2004

Resolved:

3/3/2004

and informed providers when complete. (TO 6678)

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Item Reference GENP 1.59

Date Drafted 6/9/2004

Date Revised 6/25/2004

**Groups Affected** DME

**Issue** Claims with a KO modifier were being denied in error.

**Impact** Providers were not being paid.

**Resolution** Claims with a KO modifier were being denied in error. A table was updated to recognize the KO modifier on 3/3/04.

Claims denied in error were identified for EDS to reprocess and were resubmitted on 5/13/2004. (CO 6053)

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

**Item Reference** GENP 1.61

Date Drafted 6/9/2004

Date Revised 8/6/2004

**Groups Affected** Local Health Departments

**Issue** Local health departments (LHD) were being paid at the Advanced Registered Nurse Practitioner (ARNP) rate.

Resolved: 6/15/2004

**Impact** Providers were being underpaid.

**Resolution** LHD providers were encountering a reduction in reimbursement. Instead of being reimbursed at the maximum allowable

rate for MD/DO, they were being reimbursed at 75% of the maximum allowable rate for ARNP/PA. This issue was being resolved and providers will be notified when adjustment to claims are complete. EDS anticipates the claims paid in error

will have adjustments submitted by the end of July. (CO 6117)

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

**Item Reference** GENP 1.63

6/9/2004

8/27/2004

**Groups Affected** Audiology

**Date Drafted** 

**Date Revised** 

Cleanup: 8/20/2004

System

Corrected:

6/24/2004

**Issue** Audiology claims are being denied in error.

**Impact** Claims are being denied incorrectly.

**Resolution** Claims were being denied for audiology procedure codes (V5030, V5040, V5050, V5060, V5070, V5080, V5100,

V5120, V5130, V5140, V5150, V5170, V5180, V5190, V5210, V5220, V5230, V5242, V5243, V5248, V5249) billed with an RR modifier; billed by Provider Type/Specialty 18/183, 20/200, 22/220, 31/332, 31/349 with a paid date on or

after 10/16/2003. EDS completed reprocessing the claims denied in error on 8/20/2004. (CO 6592)

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

Item Reference GENP 1.64

**Date Drafted** 6/9/2004

Date Revised 8/17/2004

**Groups Affected** Lab

Lab codes 80000-89999 with modifier TC or 26 were being denied in error.

Resolved: 6/1/2004

**Impact** Claims were being denied incorrectly.

**Resolution** Medical and outpatient claims for lab codes (80000-89999) with modifier 26 or TC were being denied in error. The

system was updated on 6/1/04. Claims that were denied in error were resubmitted for reprocessing on 8/13/2004. (CO

6687)

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Item Reference GENP 1.65

 Date Drafted
 6/17/2004

 Date Revised
 7/21/2004

Groups Affected All

**Issue** LEA providers received a large number of denials for "5652 – Headstart vs. LEA services."

Resolved: 6/21/2004

**Impact** Claims were being denied incorrectly.

**Resolution** EDS is currently designing the system to process the claims according to LEA policies. EDS identified and reprocessed

the claims for the 7/22/2004 remittance advice. (CO 6843).

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

Item Reference GENP 1.68

Date Drafted 6/28/2004

Date Revised 8/6/2004

**Groups Affected** All

**Issue** Claims were being denied for error code 550: "Manual deny for adjustment."

N/A

**Impact** Providers were not being paid.

**Resolution** EDS is researching to determine if 1) it is an appropriate denial and 2) what the appropriate message should be. EDS will

inform the providers when the issue is resolved. This is being seen predominantly on Hospice claims. (CO 6387) This

item is similar to GENP 1.51. Refer to GENP 1.51 for future updates.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Item Reference GENP 1.71

**Date Drafted** 6/28/2004

Date Revised 8/17/2004

Groups Affected All

**Issue** Claims were being denied as a noncovered Medicare service when Medic are paid the claim for the procedure code

submitted to KMAP. In addition, exception code 2504 was being denied for third-party liability erroneously.

Resolved: 7/29/2004

**Impact** Providers were not being paid.

**Resolution** During the annual HCPCS update the Medicare Coverage indicator was not updated on some of the HCPCS codes on file

for KMAP. The HCPCS tape was reviewed to verify that the codes to indicate Medicare Coverage were appropriate. Providers were notified that the files were updated. EDS reprocessed claims on 8/13/2004. (CO 6465, 6534, 6627, 6628,

& 6865)

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

Resolved:

7/3/2004

Item Reference GENP 1.73

Date Drafted 7/9/2004

Date Revised 8/6/2004

**Groups Affected** All

Claims with modifier 25 was being denied after 1/1/2004 date of service.

**Impact** Providers were not being paid.

**Resolution** Modifier 25 was end-dated for 1/1/2004 with the new system. This should have been open end-dated using 12/31/2299.

This issue was corrected on 7/3/2004. EDS will identify and reprocess the claims denied in error, and contact the

providers when complete. EDS anticipates the claims will be reprocessed by the end of July. (CO 6920)

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

**Item Reference** GENP 1.75

Date Drafted 7/9/2004

Date Revised 8/17/2004

**Groups Affected** All

**Issue** Ultrasounds (also called sonograms) were being denied in error for procedure-to-diagnosis code.

**Impact** Providers were not being paid.

**Resolution** Procedure codes 76801-76828 that processed after 10/16/2003 were being denied in error when billed with the following

diagnosis codes: 65663, 65653, 64003, 6258, 6259, V288, V234, V284, 64083, V2349, 64883, 65973, V237, 65633, 65643, 65120, 76811, 65523, 7965, 4286, 63380, 65553, 65413, 65803 and 6262. In addition, diagnosis code 65703 is

now covered for procedure code 76811, and diagnosis code 78904 is covered for procedure code 76801. Procedure codes

Policy

Updated:

8/6/2004

76830 and 76831 were never covered to pay with diagnosis codes 6258 or 6268 but are now payable. These codes were approved by SRS to be payable. All of these codes were set to either pay or pay with review as of 7/7/2004. For procedure codes 76830 and 76831, diagnosis code 63380 is now covered as well. EDS will identify the claims denied in error, reprocess them, and contact the providers when complete. This is a reminder that procedures that require review

may receive denials for additional documentation. When this is received, the paper claim can be resubmitted with medical justification for the procedure. (CO 6947, 7107, & 7149) Claims were reprocessed on 8/13/2004.

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

System

Corrected:

7/1/04

Cleanup:

8/20/2004

Resolved:

6/23/2004

Item Reference GENP 1.76

7/9/2004

8/27/2004

**Groups Affected** DME

**Date Drafted** 

**Date Revised** 

Issue

DME supplies are being denied in error.

**Impact** Providers are not being paid.

**Resolution** The Max Fee List for procedure codes A6443, A6444, A6446, A6447, A6449, A6450, A6451, A6452, and A6454 were

incorrectly end-dated 03/31/2004. The following provider types (PT) and specialties (PS) were impacted: PT/PS 05/050; PT/PS 25/250; PT/PS 25/255. This issue was corrected on 7/1/2004 for affected claims from 4/1/2004 to 7/1/2004. EDS identified and reprocessed the claims denied in error. They will appear on the 8/26/2004 remittance advice. (CO 6946)

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

**Item Reference** GENP 1.83

**Date Drafted** 7/26/2004

Date Revised 8/20/2004

Groups Affected All

**Issue** Co-pay amounts were being handled incorrectly for various procedure codes.

**Impact** Providers were being underpaid/overpaid depending on the code.

**Resolution** Provider type 11 with all provider specialties was taking co-pay from procedure code 90847 inappropriately. Claims with

procedure codes G0154, 99601, and 99601 GY should have co-pay deducted. This issue was resolved. SRS determined

no cleanup effort was needed. (CO 6851)

**Provider Action** No action is needed.

Blue highlighted items indicate the issue was closed and no longer occurs.

## **Provider Community: Optometry**

Item Reference OPT 1.1 **Date Drafted** 4/27/2004 **Date Revised** 7/21/2004 **Groups Affected** 

Claims were being denied for eyeglass frames and lenses for KAN Be Happy (KBH) eligible children. Issue

Resolved: 4/21/2004

**Impact** Providers were being underpaid.

Optometry

Resolution Procedure code V2100 was being denied/cut back by limitation audit 6214 inappropriately. For example, for a 15-year

old, who should not encounter that audit, the claim was cut back to only half of the allowed amount for the lens. The

system was corrected on 4/21/2004. The claims denied in error were reprocessed on 7/15/2004. (CO 5647)

**Provider Action** No action is needed.